



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,240	10/26/2001	Henry D. Wood	3468-61319/RJP	9266
75	90 05/12/2003			
One World Trade Center Suite 1600 121 S.W. Salmon Street			EXAMINER	
			HITESHEW, FELISA CARLA	
Portland, OR 9	77204		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1765	
			DATE MAILED: 05/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,240 Wood, et al		
Office Action Summary	Examiner In the Shew	Group Art Unit	
	th teshew	1765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet b	eneath the correspondence address	
Period for Response	<u>.</u>		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cf from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) d</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response</li> </ul>	ays, a response within the statuto default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6 from the mailing date of this communication .	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .			
Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,			
Disposition of Claims			
√ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u>		isare pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s) 11-21 and 29-36	2	is/are)withdrawn from consideration.	
√Claim(s) = -20		is/are allowed.	
Claim(s) 1 9 and 22-85		islæeallowed. islæeallowed.	
Claim(s) 1, 9 and 22-25		is/rejected.	
√Claim(s) 1, 9 and 33 -35  √Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.  □ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) 1, 9 and 33 - 35  Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) = 9 and 33 - 85  Claim(s) = 8 and 10.  Claim(s)  Application Papers		is/are rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election	
Claim(s) 1 9 and 33 - 35  Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft	wing Review, PTO-948.	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Claim(s) 1 9 and 33 - 35  Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10  Claim(s)  Application Papers	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10.  Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10.  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drave The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Claim(s) 2-8 and 10.  Claim(s) 2-8 and 10.  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft  The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved pjected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10 Claim(s)  Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10 Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draft The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	wing Review, PTO-948. is □ approved pjected to by the Examiner.	is/are rejected.  is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.	
Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10 ·  Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10 ·  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag  The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob  The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner. r. y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- s of the priority documents ha	is/rejected. is are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.	
Claim(s) 2 -8 and 10  Claim(s)  Claim(s)  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drag  The proposed drawing correction, filed on  The drawing(s) filed on  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority  All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies  received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nur	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/are pbjected.  is/are pbjected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.  Claim(s) — Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draged The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are obtoo The drawing(s) filed on is/are obtoo The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number of the Certification from the received in this national stage application from the	wing Review, PTO-948 is	is/rejected. is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d). ave been Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10  Claim(s) — Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draged The proposed drawing correction, filed on	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are pbjected.  is/are pbjected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.  Claim(s) 2 - 8 and 10.  Claim(s) —  Application Papers  See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dragon The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are ob the specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received.  received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number 1 is national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	wing Review, PTO-948 is approved bjected to by the Examiner.  r.  y under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)- of the priority documents ha mber) International Bureau (PCT F	is/are objected to.  are subject to restriction or election requirement.  disapproved.  (d).  ave been  Rule 1 7.2(a)).	

Application/Control Number: 10/040,240

Art Unit: 1765

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement under 37 C.F.R. 1.97 has been received and reviewed. However, the information disclosure is not deemed to be pertinent over the prior art of record.

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1:84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: Item numbers (156C), (164C), (168C), (174C), (220), (228), (237), (274), (279), (280), (360), (374), (375), (380), (382). A proposed drawing correction, corrected drawings, or amendment to the specification to add the reference sign(s) in the description, are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 \*

- 1. Claim 1 recites the limitation "apparatus" and "single crystal silicon" in line 1.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 2. Claim 9 recites the limitation "apparatus" and "single crystal silicon" in line 1.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 3. Claim 22 recites the limitation "apparatus" and "single crystal silicon" in line 1.

  There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/040,240

**Art Unit: 1765** 

3. Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

4. Independent claim 22 states the terminology an "apparatus", while claims 24-25 state "System". The difference in terminology is being considered vague and indefinite.

Please consider using the terminology -- apparatus -- as a replacement term.

# Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 26-28 are allowed.
- 6. Claims 2-8 and 10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 1, 9 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The most relevant prior art of record was the prior art that was submitted by the applicants. However, it does not teach singularly or in any combination thereof an apparatus for growing single crystal silicon comprising: a crucible adapted to contain a melt; a lift mechanism located over the crucible: a support body suspended from the lift mechanism; and a pivoted member comprising at least one rod holder suitable for holding a silicon rod and a single crystal seed held in a fixed position relative to the rod holder, the pivoted member being pivotally mounted on the support body for movement relative to the support body about a generally horizontal pivot axis and the pivoted

Page 3

**Art Unit: 1765** 

member having a center of gravity locate such that, when at least one silicon rod is connected to the pivoted member, the pivoted member is positioned with the rod holder extending downwardly and, when no silicon rods are connected to the pivoted member, the pivoted member is positioned with the seed extending downwardly.

### Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTOL 892.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Felisa Hiteshew whose telephone number is (703) 308-2545. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays through Thursdays from 5:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Benjamin Utech, can be reached on (703) 308-3836. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Meloa littohar FELISA HITESHEW PRIMARY EXAMINER